

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,330	03/11/2002	Hiroshi Takatori	PW 024 9738 P12830	5276
7	590 05/02/2006	EXAMINER		
Pillsbury Win		AHN, SAM K		
Intellectual Pro	perty Group			
Suite 2800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
725 South Figu		2611		
Los Angeles, (CA 90017-5406	DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A N		Ŵ.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V				
Office Action Summary	10/003,330	TAKATORI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Sam K. Ahn	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ntn the correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.	January 2006.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-13,15-21,23,24,26-28 and 30-</u>	32 is/are pending in the ap	plication.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-13,15-17,23,24,26-28 and 30-</u>	:32 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	(t ti						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 October 2005 is/ar	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	objected to by the Examine	r.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTC	J-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document							
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			24				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		1 received in this National S	stage				
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		t received					
occ the attached detailed Office action for a lic	st of the continue copies no						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗂 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	152\				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/003,330

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 10/21/05. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hill USP 6,031,428 (cited previously) in view of Roberts et al. USP 6,735,259 B1 (Roberts, cited previously) and Yousefi et al. US 5,448,598 (Yousefi).

Regarding claim 18, Hill teaches a timing recovery system (see Fig.1) to estimate a phase error (10, also shown in Fig.4, output of 40) and correlate or match (note col.6, lines 50-63) said phase error (output of 40) with a sign of recovered data (first input to 42); filter said correlated phase error by a loop filter to generate an output (14); sum (16) said output with a path output from at least one non-linear path (22,24,26) to generate a summed output (output of 16); and convert said summed output into clock information (output of 20).

However, Hill does no teach estimating a phase error based on a data sample from both a center of a data eye of input data and from a phase sample from said input data half-a-baud later in time.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,330

Art Unit: 2611

Roberts teaches estimation of a phase error based on a data sample from both a center of a data eye of input data (see Fig.2, optimum timing T_{OPT}) and from a phase sample from said input data (delayed version between T- and Topt or between T+ and T_{OPT}, note col.9, lines 16-28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to implement the step of estimating the phase error of Roberts in the system of Hill for the purpose of increasing the accuracy of the timing recovery of the system (note col.9, lines 11-15). And although Roberts does not explicitly teach that the phase sample from said input data is half-a-baud later in time, Roberts suggests that the clock recovery (4) may generate plurality of clock signals having a unique phase offset (note col.9, lines 17-19). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement the phase sample from said input data is half-a-baud later in time. Applicant has not disclosed that the limitation provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the phase sample delayed by other unique phase offset because the processor would know the delay between the center of the eye and the clock signal generated by the clock recovery. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to implement the invention as specified in claim. And further, although Hill in view of Roberts do not explicitly disclose that the timing recovery system comprises a machine-readable storage medium having a machine-readable program code.

Art Unit: 2611

stored on the machine-readable storage medium, the machine-readable program code having instructions to implement the steps above, it is well-known to one skilled in the art to implement timing recovery processes in a computer.

Although Hill in view of Roberts do not explicitly teach wherein the sign is a

positive or negative value, applicant has not disclosed that the sign being a positive or negative value provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with other form to differentiate the sign of the recovered, such as one value higher than the other because assigning the sign to be a positive or negative is not novel, and is merely a matter of designating a value for one and another value for the other to differentiate the two. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to modify the system of Hill in view of Roberts by assigning as recited to obtain the invention as specified in claim.

However, Hill in view of Roberts do not explicitly teach monitoring a data density of said input data and generate a data density output.

Yousefi teaches monitoring a data density (**d**, note col.9, lines 42-43) of an input data and generate a data density output (note equation 4, using the data density d in computing for the PLL clock recovery). By considering the data density in the system of Hill in view of Roberts, one skilled in the art would recognize that the PLL operation would improve its performance, as taught by Yousefi (note col.9, lines 62-68). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 10/003,330 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Yousefi in the system of Hill in view of Roberts by coupling the input data to the gain control circuit of Yousefi (note col.9, lines 41-42) for the purpose of improving the performance of the PLL operation, as taught by Yousefi (note col.9, lines 62-68).

Regarding claims 19 and 20, Hill further teaches multiplying said correlated phase error by a gain (12 in Fig.1) prior to filtering (14) said correlated phase error by said loop filter.

Regarding claim 21, Hill further teaches summing said output (16) with a non-linear paths to generate the summed output (output of 16). Although Hill does not explicitly teach having three non-linear paths to be summed, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to implement as such for the purpose of increasing the conditions to adjust the phase, as explained previously (such conditions as detection of data pattern, taught by Masenas and accumulated error, taught by Perrott).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-6,8-13,15-17,23,24,26-28 and 30-32 are allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this
 Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2611

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sam Ahn whose telephone number is (571) 272-3044. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sam K. Ahn 4/29/06

JAY K. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER